



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,891	05/26/2000	Hiroto Nagahisa	2611-0128P	9944

7590

06/05/2003

Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch L L P  
P O Box 747  
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

AN, SHAWN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2613

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

54

# Office Action Summary

Application No.  
09/579,891

Applicant(s)  
Hiroto Nagahisa et al.

Examiner  
Shawn An

Art Unit  
2613



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2613

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-2, 7, 9-10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicant's admitted conventional prior art (substantially the same as JP 10240774).

**Regarding claims 1 and 9**, Applicant's admitted conventional prior art (JP 10240774) discloses an image collection apparatus, comprising:

image acquisition unit (Fig. 28, 107) for acquiring an image;

an event detection unit (108) for detecting an event based on the image;

an event data creation unit (Fig. 29) for creating event data indicative of at least a generation time and contents of the event detected;

a macro event definition unit (Fig. 32) for defining a macro event including a related event group and having a time width by using the generation time and contents of the event;

Art Unit: 2613

a macro event data creation unit (Fig. 32) for creating macro event data by structuring a plurality of event data generated by the event data creation unit based on definition contents of the macro event;

an image recording unit (104) for recording an image of a macro event corresponding to the macro event data;

a retrieval unit (Fig. 32) for retrieving a desirable macro event based on the macro event data; and

a display unit (106) for acquiring an image of the macro event retrieved from the image recording unit and displaying the image.

**Regarding claims 2 and 10**, JP 10240774 reference discloses a temporary storage unit for temporarily storing the images acquired by the image acquisition unit, wherein the recording unit extracts and records an image related to an event detected by the event detection unit from the image temporarily stored by the temporary storage unit (13; abstract).

**Regarding claims 7 and 15**, Applicant's admitted conventional prior art discloses a plurality of image acquisition devices (101), wherein the image recording unit (104) selects one of image acquisition units corresponding to the macro event from a plurality of images, as the image of the macro event (Fig. 32).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2613

5. Claims 3-6, 8, 11-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted conventional prior art (substantially the same as JP 10240774).

**Regarding claims 3 and 11,** Applicant's admitted conventional prior art does not seem to particularly disclose the macro event being further defined as including repetition of a predetermined event in a series of events.

However, the Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in a surveillance art for an event detecting device to detect a repetition of a predetermined event in a series of events (note: Klein et al (6,064,303)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art employing a Applicant's admitted conventional prior art to incorporate the macro event definition unit further defining repetition of a predetermined event in a series of events for a well known reason of keeping track of events that are occurring at least more than once.

**Regarding claims 4-5 and 12-13,** Applicant's admitted conventional prior art does not seem to particularly disclose summarizing the image of the macro event.

However, the Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in a surveillance art for an image summary unit to summarize an image of an event by comparing non-selected static image with a selected static image on a time basis (note: Klein et al (6,064,303)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art employing a Applicant's admitted conventional prior art to incorporate image summary unit for summarizing an image of an event by comparing the selected macro event image with the non-selected macro event image, whereby the recording unit records the macro events summarized by the image summary unit so that an user/operator has the means to review the summary of the macro event images.

**Regarding claims 6, 8, 14, and 16,** Applicant's admitted conventional prior art does not seem to particularly disclose measuring or predetermined analyzing processing based on a data related to the image.

Art Unit: 2613

However, the Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in an image collection art for a measuring unit (note: prior arts (4,847,772) or (4,257,063)) such to measure a data related to the image and an analysis unit (note: Lemelson et al (4,653,109)) for predetermined analyzing of a data related to the image.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art employing a Applicant's admitted conventional prior art to incorporate the measuring unit for measuring a data related to the image, so that the measured data can be stored at a measured data storage unit and the analysis unit can analyze a data related to the image of the macro event, whereby the display unit obviously displays the measured and analyzed image data related to the macro event for further reviewing.

### *Conclusion*

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
  - A) Klein et al (6,064,303), Personal computer-based home security system.
  - B) Michalopoulos et al (4,847,772), Vehicle detection through image processing for traffic surveillance and control.
  - C) Loughry et al (4,257,063), Video monitoring system and method.
  - D) Lemelson et al (4,653,109), Image analysis system and method.
7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Art Unit: 2613

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number (703) 305-0099 and schedule are Tuesday-Friday (Monday off).

**SHAWN S. AN**  
**PATENT EXAMINER**



SSA

May 29, 2003